

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,336	10/29/2001	Robert Setbacken	8371/9	1535
75	590 09/05/2003			
John C Freeman			EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer G PO Box 10395		•	LE, QUE TAN	
Chicago, IL 60	0610		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) SETBACKEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	10/039,336	SETBACKEN ET AL. $\ell^{t}$				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Que T. Le	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nce address				
THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened o	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP (36(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee und	e der			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mosearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce a	ny			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o		·				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendme	ent			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place th	e			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	<del>t(s)</del> a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel	o)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 7-38.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		₩ ·				
		Que T. Le Primary Examiner				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/039,336

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the submission of "second supplemental declaration", filed 8/20/03 has overcome the rejection as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251, set forth in the previous Office Action, dated 3/19/03, paper no. 10. The Response, filed 8/20/03, has not overcome the objection under 35 U.S.C. 132, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought set forth in the previous Office Action, dated 3/19/03, paper no. 10.